Authenticity in Language Learning: Definitions and Implications for Teaching Materials

Ziyodullayev Jamshid Azamat o'gli
A teacher of the University of World Economy and Diplomacy
j.ziyodullayev@uwed.uz

Abstract
The article aims to define the term authenticity, distinguish between authentic tasks and materials, and clarify how it differs from related concepts. Drawing on prominent sources, various definitions of "authenticity" are discussed, and scholars' perspectives on designing and using authentic materials in English lessons are presented. The study emphasizes the importance of understanding authenticity for selecting and implementing effective language teaching materials that reflect real-world usage.
Keywords: authenticity, authentic materials, classroom management, data collection, teaching resources, online English dictionaries, lesson objectives.

The most reliable sources for clarifying the meaning of "authenticity" are dictionaries. Different dictionaries provide various definitions, yet they convey almost identical meanings of the word. For example, the first source referenced is *Macmillan English Dictionary for Advanced Learners* (Second Edition) by Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2007 (text © A&C Black Publishers Ltd, 2007). According to it, the word "authenticity" is defined as "the quality of being authentic." At first glance, this definition seems simple and reasonable. However, the term "authentic" requires further clarification to be fully understood. The dictionary provides three meanings of "authentic":

1.   Real, not false or copied
2.   Accurate or based in fact
3.   Traditional or original, or very similar to these

From these definitions, "authenticity" can be interpreted as "the quality of being real" or "something derived from real life or based on fact."

Another source, the *Cambridge Academic Content Dictionary*, provides a synonym for "authenticity," identifying it as similar in meaning to "reality" and "truth." In this sense, it closely aligns with the Macmillan definition. The *Cambridge Dictionary*

defines "authentic" as "being what it is claimed to be." It also lists "genuine," meaning "being what something or someone appears or claims to be," as a synonym. Essentially, both dictionaries provide nearly the same understanding of "authenticity."

The *Merriam-Webster Dictionary* offers additional definitions of "authentic" in a broader sense:

1.   Obsolete:
a. Worthy of acceptance or belief as conforming to or based on fact (e.g., paints an authentic picture of our society)
b. Conforming to an original so as to reproduce essential features (e.g., an authentic reproduction of a colonial farmhouse)
c. Made or done the same way as an original (e.g., authentic Mexican fare)
2.   Not false or imitation (e.g., an authentic Cockney accent)

Merriam-Webster also provides a brief etymology of "authentic": Middle English *autentik*, from Anglo-French, from Late Latin *authenticus*, from Greek *authentikos*, from *authentēs* (perpetrator, master), from *aut- + -hentēs* (akin to Greek *anyein*, "to accomplish," Sanskrit *sanoti*, "he gains"). The first known use dates to the 14th century.

A key observation from these definitions is that "authentic" generally refers to something real. Thus, "authenticity" can broadly be interpreted as "reality."

Once the meaning of "authenticity" is clarified, it can be applied to teaching materials. Questions arise: "What kinds of materials are regarded as authentic?" "How useful are they in the classroom?" "Should instructors exercise caution when selecting them?" Various sources are necessary to fully understand authentic materials.

Harmer, author of *The Practice of English Language Teaching*, briefly explains authentic materials: "Authentic texts are real texts designed not for language students, but for the speakers of the language in question" (1991). Here, "language students" refers to individuals learning foreign languages.

Marrow provides a similarly clear definition: authentic material is "a stretch of real language produced by a real speaker or writer for a real audience and designed to carry a real message of some sort" (1977:13). Nunan (1989:54) states, "A rule of thumb for authenticity here is any material which has not been specifically produced for the purposes of language teaching."

Jill Boy, a prominent EFL expert at the University of Ohio, defines authentic materials as those extracted from real life. In an interview, he explained:

"The important thing to start with is to narrow down the meaning of 'authentic materials.' Yes, it is obviously worthwhile for students to have meaningful experiences in the classroom, making language learning an educational process of self-development and discovery as well as a tool. But this has little or nothing to do with authentic materials. To use authentic materials simply means using examples of language produced by native speakers for some real purpose of their own rather than using language produced and designed solely for the classroom. Anybody who brings into the classroom a newspaper article, an advertisement, a pop song, a strip cartoon, or even a bus ticket is using authentic materials. Teachers have always introduced such realia into their classrooms, and always will."

Boy emphasizes that authentic materials are "teaching tools presented by native speakers and not created specifically for classroom use." Peacock (1997) provides a similar definition: "Authentic materials are those produced to fulfill some social purpose in the language community." In other words, they are not intended primarily for learners to improve their target language; rather, they originally serve a communicative function in real life.

Some definitions differ slightly. Martinez (2002) states:

"Authentic material is material designed for native speakers of English, used in the classroom in a way similar to the one it was designed for" (p.1).

This definition is more controversial, as it focuses on materials designed for native speakers rather than materials brought into the classroom by instructors. Rogers (1988) also provides a nuanced definition:

"(Authentic materials are) 'appropriate' and 'quality' in terms of goals, objectives, learner needs, and interests, and 'natural' in terms of real life and meaningful communication" (p.467).

At first glance, this may seem inconsistent with other definitions, which emphasize that authentic materials are not specifically tailored for learners. However, if authenticity is understood as a process that learners engage with, Rogers' interpretation can be applied.

Some articles emphasize that authentic materials are designed for a "real audience." For example, E. Homolova, ESL/EFL teacher trainer, in *Creative Approach to Authentic Materials in ESL*, states:

"Authentic materials can provide resources for ESL/EFL teachers and offer them the opportunity to expose learners to materials produced for real life and out-of-classroom contexts. By authentic material, we understand materials with 'real' language, produced by real speakers for a real audience. The focus is on the message, and means other than language such as format, design, style, and context are often used to help communicate it."

Similarly, Suman Laudari, in *Use of Authentic Materials in Language Classrooms: A Fashion or Compulsion?*, argues that the perception of authentic materials has shifted:

"Nowadays, people do not focus on whether materials were designed for native speakers, but whether the language sounds authentic in part or in its entirety, and whether students are likely to encounter it in real situations. Anything a native speaker of English would hear, read, or use can be described as authentic. These materials are not designed for EFL learners and are not graded to fit learners' needs."

Jordan (1997, p.113) defines authentic sources as "texts that are not written for language teaching purposes." Guariento and Morley highlight their motivational role: "Authentic material is significant since it increases students' motivation for learning and exposes learners to the 'real' language" (2001, p.347).

In summary, authentic materials are not deliberately created to teach language in a classroom; rather, they are designed to serve real communicative purposes.

Reference

Gatehouse K. "Key issues in English for Specific Purposes: (ESP) Curriculum development"; Developing language teachers for a changing world. pp.105-123. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company.

Gilmore, A. (2004). A comparison of textbook and authentic interactions. English Language Teaching Journal, 58(4), 363-374.

Homolova E. (1995). Creative Approach to Authentic Materials in ESL. Issues in Applied Linguistics, 6(2), 37-62.

Jennings, M., J. Fox, B. Graves and E. Shohamy. The test-taker's choice: an investigation of the effect of topic on language speaking test-performance. Language Testing 16: 426-456.

Ji Lingzhu and Zhang Yuanyuan (2010),Getting real in the language classroom: Developing EFL students' communicative competence with authentic materials. Nottingham University.