Theory Of Inverse Operators In Functional Analysis: Fundamental Theorems And Practical Applications

Ibragimova Dildora Azamat qizi
Navoi state university
Sadullayeva Iroda Po`lat qizi
Navoi state university

ABSTRACT
This article analyzes one of the central concepts of functional analysis—the theory of inverse operators A^{-1} and their properties in linear spaces. Within the scope of this research, the conditions for operator invertibility, Banach's Bounded Inverse Theorem, and Jacques Hadamard's concept of ill-posed problems are examined. The primary objective of this paper is to bridge the gap between pure mathematical abstraction and its critical role in applied fields such as medical imaging (computed tomography) and geophysics (seismic inverse problems).
Keywords: Inverse operator, Banach space, injectivity, surjectivity, invertibility

(17th Century). The earliest conceptual use of an inverse operator belongs to Isaac Newton and Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. Through the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, they established that integration and differentiation are inverse processes. If differentiation is an operator acting on a function, integration is its inverse operator. However, they didn't use the modern term "operator"; they viewed it purely as geometry and rates of change.

(19th Century). The formal idea of an "inverse mapping" began to solidify with the invention of matrix algebra by Arthur Cayley and James Joseph Sylvester in the 1850s. They formalized how a matrix A transforms a vector, and how its inverse matrix A^{-1} reverses that transformation. This was the first rigorous mathematical framework for inverse operators, though it was strictly limited to finite-dimensional spaces.

The Golden Age of Functional Analysis (1920s - 1930s)

This is the era where the term "operator" was truly born, heavily driven by the new and confusing world of Quantum Mechanics, which required entirely new math. David Hilbert and John von Neumann formalized Hilbert spaces, laying down the rules for operators (like position and momentum) and their inverses in quantum states. Stefan Banach (the Polish mathematical genius) published his magnum opus, *Théorie des opérations linéaires* (Theory of Linear Operations), in 1932. He formalized Banach spaces and proved the Bounded Inverse Theorem. This was a monumental milestone: it gave the absolute mathematical proof of when an inverse operator is stable and continuous.

In modern mathematics and theoretical physics, the majority of fundamental physical processes are modeled through operator equations acting between Banach (or Hilbert) spaces X and Y, expressed as:

$$Ax = y$$

Here, x represents the unknown cause (or initial state), A defines the mathematical model of the system (the forward process), and y denotes the observed consequence (empirical data). Understanding and controlling natural phenomena directly require solving this equation for x, which mathematically translates to constructing the inverse operator A^{-1} such that $x = A^{-1}y$

The purpose of this article is to critically review the existence conditions of inverse operators, the theorems governing their boundedness (continuity), and the mathematical obstacles encountered when applying these abstract concepts to practical, real-world problems.

This study utilizes the classical methods of linear operator theory and Banach spaces. Specifically, the existence of an inverse operator strictly depends on two fundamental mathematical conditions:

1. **Injectivity (One-to-One):** The kernel (null space) of the operator must consist solely of the zero element. This means that if $Ax_1 = Ax_2$, it must imply that $x_1 = x_2$. This guarantees that every observed outcome y stems from a unique cause x.
2. **Surjectivity (Onto):** The range of the operator must cover the entirety of space Y.

Only when these conditions are met is the operator A considered bijective, allowing for the existence of a definitive inverse operator A^{-1} that satisfies the following identities: $A^{-1}A = I_x$ and $AA^{-1} = I_y$ where I_x and I_y are the identity operators on spaces X and Y, respectively.

Theorem[1] (Banach): *If a linear operator $A: X \to Y$ between two Banach spaces is bounded (continuous) and bijective, then its inverse operator $A^{-1}: Y \to X$ is also bounded (continuous).*

Theorem [2]. For a linear operator $A: X \to Y$ to be invertible, it is necessary and sufficient that the equation $Ax = \theta$ has only the solution $x = \theta$

Proof. Necessity. Let A be invertible. Then the equation $Ax = \theta$ has a unique solution. Since A is linear, this solution is $x = \theta$

Sufficiency. Let the equation $Ax = \theta$ have only the zero solution; then for any $y \in \operatorname{Im} A$, the equation $Ax = y$ will have a unique solution. Suppose the contrary, let there be two solutions for some $y \in \operatorname{Im} A$, i.e., $Ax_1 = y$, $Ax_2 = y$. Then $A(x_1 - x_2) = \theta$. According to the condition, $(x_1 - x_2) = \theta$. From this, $x_1 = x_2$. $\square$

In the following section, we will examine examples of finding inverse operators.

Example 1.

$$A: C[0,1] \to C[0,1], \quad (Ax)(t) = (t+2)x(t) + \int_0^1 sx(s)ds.$$

Demonstrate the invertibility of the operator and find its inverse.

Solution. Let us introduce the notation: $\int_0^1 sx(s)ds = C$

and

$$(t+2)x(t) + C = y(t)$$

$$x(t) = \frac{y(t) - C}{t+2} \Rightarrow x(s) = \frac{y(s) - C}{s+2}$$

Then substituting the obtained expression into the formula for C in place of $x(s)$, we get:

$$C = \int_0^1 sx(s)ds = \int_0^1 s \cdot \frac{y(s) - C}{s+2} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{sy(s)}{s+2} ds - C\int_0^1 \frac{s}{s+2} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{sy(s)}{s+2} ds - C\int_0^1 (1 - \frac{2}{s+2}) ds$$

$$C = \int_0^1 \frac{sy(s)}{s+2} ds - C(1 - 2\ln\frac{3}{2})$$

$$C = \frac{1}{(2-2\ln\frac{3}{2})}\int_0^1 \frac{sy(s)}{s+2}ds$$

It is obvious that, $A^{-1}y = \frac{y(t)-C}{t+2}$

$$A^{-1}y = \frac{y(t)}{t+2} - \frac{1}{(t+2)(2-2\ln\frac{3}{2})}\cdot\int_0^1 \frac{sy(s)}{s+2}ds$$

According to teorem[2], to show the invertibility of the given operator, we solve the following equation:

$$(t+2)x(t) + \int_0^1 sx(s)ds = 0$$

Let the integral part be a constant C , since it is a definite integral with respect to s :

$$\int_0^1 sx(s)ds = C$$

Substituting C back into the original equation, we get:

$$(t+2)x(t) + C = 0$$

$$x(t) = \frac{-C}{t+2}$$

Now, we substitute this expression for $x(t)$ back into the definition of our constant c :

$$c = \int_0^1 s(\frac{-c}{s+2})ds = -c\int_0^1 \frac{s}{s+2}ds$$

So, our equation for c becomes:

$$c = -c(1-2\ln\frac{3}{2})$$

$$c(2-2\ln\frac{3}{2}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = 0$$

The only possible solution is $c=0$

If $c=0$, then $x(t)=0$

It follows that the given operator is invertible.

References

Banach, S. (1932). Théorie des opérations linéaires. Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa-Lwów.

Tikhonov, A. N., & Arsenin, V. Y. (1977). Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems. V. H. Winston & Sons.

Hadamard, J. (1923). Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations. Yale University Press.

Rudin, W. (1991). Functional Analysis (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Funksional analizdan masalalar to‘plami: II qism / J. Abdullayev, R. G‘anixo‘jayev, I. Ikromov. - Samarqand: Turon-Iqbol, 2012.-150 b.